NOTES

- The philosophical issue of the nature of the "intangible book" is addressed in Jorge J.E. Garcia, "Textual Identity," Sorites, July 1995, pp. 57–75.
- These examples were suggested by Carol H. Sanders, who made a number of helpful suggestions for this chapter.
- ³ Randall Davis, "The Digital Dilemma," Communications of the ACM, 44:2, Feb. 2001, pp. 77–83.
- Several sources were used for the history in this section. National Research Council, Intellectual Property Issues in Software, National Academy Press, 1991. Neil Boorstyn and Martin C. Fliesler, "Copyrights, Computers, and Confusion," California State Bar Journal, April 1981, pp. 148–152. Judge Richard Stearns, United States of America v. David LaMacchia, 1994. Robert A. Spanner, "Copyright Infringement Goes Big Time," Microtimes, March 8, 1993, p. 36.
- 5 The piano roll case is White-Smith Publishing Co. v. Apollo, reported in Boorstyn and Fliesler, "Copyrights, Computers, and Confusion."
- Data Cash Systems v. JS & A Group, reported in Neil Boorstyn and Martin C. Fliesler, "Copyrights, Computers, and Confusion."
- 7 Criminal penalties for copyright infringement are in Title 18 of the U.S. Code.
- Pub. L. No. 105-304 §100; 112 Stat. 2860 (Oct. 28, 1998)
- 9 U.S. Code Title 17, Section 107.
- Sony Corporation of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 464 U.S. 417(1984). Pamela Samuelson, "Computer Programs and Copyright's Fair Use Doctrine," Communications of the ACM, Sept. 1993, 36:9, pp. 19–25.
- "9th Circuit Allows Disassembly in Sega vs. Accolade," Computer Law Strategist, Nov. 1992, 9:7, pp. 1, 3–5. "Can You Infringe a Copyright While Analyzing a Competitor's Program?" Legal Bytes, George, Donaldson & Ford, L.L.P., publisher, Winter 1992–93, 1:1, p. 3. Pamela Samuelson, "Copyright's Fair Use Doctrine and Digital Data," Communications of the ACM, Jan. 1994, 37:1, pp. 21–27.
- Sony Computer Entertainment, Inc. v. Connectix Corporation, U.S. 9th Circuit Court of Appeal, No. 99-15852, Feb. 10, 2000.
- 13 "The Digital Reckoning," Time, May 22, 2000, p. 56.
- Stuart Luman and Jason Cook, "Knocking Off Napster," Wired, Jan. 2001, p. 89. Karl Taro Greenfeld, "Meet the Napster," Time, Oct. 2, 2000, pp. 60–68. "Napster University: From File Swapping to the Future of Entertainment," June 1, 2000, www.webnoize.com/research.
- Charles Goldsmith, "Sharp Slowdown in U.S. Singles Sales Helps to Depress Global Music Business," Wall Street Journal, Apr. 20, 2001, p. B8.

- A&M Records v. Napster, No. 0016401, Feb. 12, 2001, DC No. CV-99-05183-MHP.
- ¹⁷ Robin D. Gross, "9th Circuit Napster Ruling Requires P2P Developers to Ensure No One Misuses Their Systems," *EFFector*, 14:4, Mar. 5, 2001.
- ¹⁸ "Let's Give It Up for Metallica," Wall Street Journal, May 10, 2000, p. A27.
- Jared Sandberg, "Pirated Copies of the Latest Software From IBM, Others Posted on the Internet," Wall Street Journal, Oct. 31, 1994, p. B6. "Netwatch," Time, July 25, 1994, p. 18.
- Trevor Moores and Gurpreet Dhillon, "Software Piracy: A View from Hong Kong," Communications of the ACM, Dec. 2000, 43:12, pp. 88–93.
- Russell Watson et al., "A Little Fight Music,"
 Newsweek, Feb. 13, 1995, pp. 38–39. Estimates of the number of counterfeit CDs produced in China were in the range of 45–75 million per year in the mid-1990s. "Asides," Wall Street Journal, Aug. 25, 1995, p. A8.
 Craig S. Smith, "Microsoft Finds Pirated Software in Raid in China," Wall Street Journal, May 1, 1996, p. A13. "Microsoft Gear Is Stolen From Scottish Plant," Wall Street Journal, Nov. 19, 1997, p. B4. Rebecca Buckman, "Microsoft Steps Up Software-Piracy War," Wall Street Journal, Aug. 2, 2000, p. B6. Glenn Simpson, "Microsoft Urges Global Attack Against Piracy," Wall Street Journal, Apr. 2, 2001, p. A3.
- Watson et al., "A Little Fight Music."
- Watson et al, "A Little Fight Music." Helene Cooper and Kathy Chen, "China Averts Trade War with the U.S., Promising a Campaign Against Piracy," Wall Street Journal, Feb. 27, 1995, p. A3. R. W. Bradford pointed out the unfairness of the tactic used to pressure the Chinese to enforce copyright in "Whose Ox is Xeroxed," Liberty, May 1995, 8:5, pp. 8–9. William P. Alford, "A Piracy Deal Doesn't Make a China Policy," Wall Street Journal, July 17, 1996, p. A14.
- Helen Nissenbaum, "Should I Copy My Neighbor's Software?" in Deborah G. Johnson and Helen Nissenbaum, Computers, Ethics & Social Values, Prentice Hall, 1995, pp. 201–213.
- ²⁵ Pamela Samuelson, "The Copyright Grab," Wired, Jan. 1996, pp. 134–138, 188–191. The companies were Vault and Quaid.
- Junda Woo, "Case Reveals Flaws in Royalty System," Wall Street Journal, Jan. 3, 1995, p. 18. Frank Music Corp., et al. v. CompuServe. "Publication Rights Clearinghouse," news release from the National Writers Union, Dec. 27, 1995 (www.nwu.org).
- ²⁷ Laura Didio, "Crackdown on Software Bootleggers Hits Home," *LAN Times*, Nov. 1, 1993, 10:22.
- 28 "Millbury Man Pleads Guilty in 'Davey Jones' Computer Case," Worcester Telegram & Gazette (MA), Dec. 16, 1994.

- Robert Fox, "News Track: Music Copyright Bot," Communications of the ACM, Dec. 1997, 40:12, p. 10. Robina Gibb, "Bounty to Trap Software Pirates," The Sunday Telegraph, Oct. 9, 1994, p. 1. Jared Sandberg, "Pirated Copies of the Latest Software From IBM, Others Posted on the Internet," Wall Street Journal, Oct. 31, 1994, p. B6. Brandon Michener, "Video Industry In Britain has Pirates at Bay," Wall Street Journal, Aug. 16, 2000, pp. B1, B4.
- Software Publishers Association, "Settlement Announced In Copyright Infringement Case," (news release), May 16, 1996. Jonathan Groner, "Swatting Back at Software Pirates," Legal Times, May 18, 1992, p. 7. "Budget Rent a Car to Pay \$403,000 in Settlement," Wall Street Journal, June 29, 1998, p. A10. Glenn R. Simpson, "ThoughtWorks Made Illegal Use Of Others' Software," Wall Street Journal, Jan. 31, 2001, p. 89
- Aaron Goldberg, "Ler's say 'bye-bye' to the SPA," PC Week, Jan. 18, 1993, 10:2, p. 126. Mitzi Waltz, "Making Piracy a Management Issue," MacWeek, June 22, 1992, 6:24, p. 10.
- ³² Karl Peterson of McGraw-Hill, quoted in Didio, "Crackdown on Software Bootleggers Hits Home."
- ³³ Quoted in Karl Taro Greenfeld, "The Free Juke Box," Time, Mar. 27, 2000, p. 82.
- ³⁴ Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Reimerdes, 111 F.Supp.2d 294 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).
- David S. Touretzky, a computer science professor at Carnegie Mellon University, collected many forms of expressing DeCSS on his Web site, "Gallery of CSS Descramblers," www.cs.cmu.edu/-dst/DeCSS/Gallery; viewed Apr. 12, 2001.
- 36 "Court of Appeals Asks: Is Computer Code Speech?" EPIC Alert, June 15, 2001.
- 37 DVDCCA v. Bunner,
- 38 Scott A. Craver et al, "Reading Between the Lines: Lessons From the SDMI Challenge," www.usenix.org/events/sec01/craver.pdf.
- 39 Felton et al. v. RIAA, SDMI, et al.. The ACM statement is at www.acm.org/usacm/copyright/felten_declaration.html.
- Nicholas Negroponte, "Being Digital." Wired, February 1995, p. 182.
- ⁴¹ Pamela Samuelson, "Copyright and Digital Libraries," Communications of the ACM, Apr. 1995, 38:3, pp. 15– 21, 110.
- ⁴² Lance Rose, "The Emperor's Clothes Still Fit Just Fine," Wired, Feb. 1995, pp. 103–106.
- Esther Dyson, "Intellectual Value," Wired, July 1995, pp. 136–141, 182–184. Excerpted from Release 1.0, Dec. 1994.
- ⁴⁴ Thomas E. Weber, "Maverick Programmers Prepare to Unleash Anarchy on the Web," Wall Street Journal, Mar. 27, 2000, p. B1. The programmer quoted by Weber is Bryan Mayland.

- ⁴⁵ David G. Post, "New World War," *Reason*, Apr. 1996, pp. 28–33. *EFFector Online*, Oct. 7, 1995, 8:16.
- 46 Tom Klemesrud, reported in EFFector Online, Feb. 23, 1995, 8:2.
- ⁴⁷ Judge Leonie Brinkema, quoted in Jason L. Riley, "The Internet vs. the First Amendment," Wall Street Journal, Oct. 25, 1999, p. A53.
- For example in the Wall Street Journal, May 11, 2000, p. A7.
- ⁴⁹ Barbara R. Bergmann and Mary W. Gray, "Viewpoint: Software As a Public Good," Communications of the ACM, Oct. 1993, 36:10, pp. 13–14.
- This is a brief summary of Stallman's views. See his article "Why Software Should Be Free" and many others at the GNU Web site, www.gnu.org/philosophy.
- 51 "What Is Copyleft?" www.gnu.org/philosophy.
- 52 Gottshalk v. Bensen, 409 U.S. 175 (1972) and Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175 (1981). Dan L. Burk, "Copyrightable Functions and Patentable Speech," Communications of the ACM, 44:2, Feb. 2001, pp. 69–75.
- ⁵³ The source for information about the cases in this section is "When Is a Computer Program a Copy?" *Legal Bytes*, George, Donaldson & Ford, L.L.P., Winter 1992–93, 1:1, pp. 1,2,4.
- Anne Wells Branscomb, Who Owns Information?, Basic Books, 1994, p. 147.
- David L. Hayes, "A Comprehensive Current Analysis of Software 'Look and Feel' Protection," Fenwick & West LLP, 2000, at www.fenwick.com/pub/ip_pubs.
- 56 Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, quoted in Hayes, "A Comprehensive Current Analysis of Software 'Look and Feel' Protection."
- 57 This exercise is based on the Los Angeles Times v. Free Republic case. The court's decision in favor of the newspapers seems inconsistent with the reasoning in the reverse-engineering cases described in Section 6.2.3 and was criticized by some scholars.
- This exercise was sparked by a brief note in Helen Nissenbaum, "Should I Copy My Neighbor's Software?" in Deborah G. Johnson and Helen Nissenbaum, Computers, Ethics & Social Values, Prentice Hall, 1995, p 213.
- Southeastern Express Co. v. Triad Systems Corp., reported in "Software Copyrights," Wall Street Journal, Feb. 27, 1996, p. A2. The court ruled that copying the program infringed the copyright.
- ⁶⁰ Glenn R. Simpson, "A '90s Espionage Tale Stars Software Rivals, E-Mail Spy," Wall Street Journal, Oct. 25, 1995, pp. B1, B5.
- ⁶¹ The idea for this exercise comes from Samuelson, "Copyright's Fair Use Doctrine and Digital Data" and Jack Russo and Michael Risch, "Copyright Protection For Virtual Realities," Computer Law Strategist, Oct. 1992, pp. 1, 3, 4, and Nov. 1992, pp. 2–3.

BOOKS AND ARTICLES

- John Perry Barlow, "The Economy of Ideas: A Framework for Rethinking Patents and Copyrights in the Digital Age," Wired, March 1994, pp. 84–90, 126–129.
- Anne Wells Branscomb, Who Owns Information?, Chapter 8: Who Owns Computer Software?, Basic Books, 1994.
- Randall Davis, Pamela Samuelson, Mitchell Kapor, and Jerome Reichman, "A New View of Intellectual Property Rights," Communications of the ACM, March 1996, 39:3, pp. 21–30.
- Esther Dyson, "Intellectual Value," Wired, July 1995, pp. 136–141, 182–185.
- David D. Friedman, Law's Order: What Economics Has to Do With Law and Why It Matters, Princeton University Press, 2000, Chapter 11: Clouds and Barbed Wire: The Economics of Intellectual Property. Economic pros and cons for intellectual property rights.
- Derrick Grover, ed., The Protection of Computer Software—Its Technology and Applications, second edition, British Computer Society Monographs in Informatics, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- David L. Hayes, "A Comprehensive Current Analysis of Software "Look and Feel" Protection," Fenwick & West LLP, 2000, at www.fenwick.com/pub/ip_pubs.
- Stan J. Liebowitz and Stephen E. Margolis, Winners, Losers, & Microsoft: Competition and Antitrust in High Technology, revised edition, The Independent Institute, 2001.
- Jessica Littman, Digital Copyright: Protecting Intellectual Property on the Internet, Prometheus Books, 2001.

- Glyn Moody, Rebel Code: Inside Linux and the Open Source Revolution, Perseus, 2001.
- National Research Council, The Digital Dilemma: Intellectual Property in the Information Age, National Academy Press, 2000; books.nap.edu/html/digital_dilemma/notice.html.
- Andrew Oram et al., Peer-to-Peer: Harnessing the Power of Disruptive Technologies, O'Reilly, 2001.
- L. R. Patterson, Copyright In Historical Perspective, Vanderbilt University Press, 1968.
- David G. Post, "New World War," Reason, Apr. 1996, pp. 28–33. Covers the Church of Scientology cases and aspects of the Internet that affect the flow of information and protection of intellectual property.
- Eric S. Raymond, The Cathedral and the Bazaar, O'Reilly, 1999.
- Lance Rose, "The Emperor's Clothes Still Fit Just Fine," Wired, Feb. 1995, pp. 103– 106.
- Pamela Samuelson, "Computer Programs and Copyright's Fair Use Doctrine," Communications of the ACM, Sept. 1993, 36:9, pp. 19–25.
- Pamela Samuelson, "Why the Anticircumvention Regulations Need Revision," Communications of the ACM, Sept. 1999, 42:9, pp. 17–21.
- Linus Torvalds and David Diamond, Just for Fun: The Story of an Accidental Revolutionary, HarperBusiness, 2001.
- Jesse Walker, "Copy Catfight: How Intellectual Property Laws Stifle Popular Culture," Reason, Mar. 2000, pp. 44–51.



ORGANIZATIONS AND WEBSITES

- The Electronic Frontier Foundation's site about the Digital Millennium Copyright Act: www.eff.org/IP/DMCA
- The GNU project and free software: www.gnu.org/philosophy
- News about file sharing: www.gnutellanews.com
- UCLA's Cyberspace Law and Policy Institute: www.gseis.ucla.edu/iclp

- Many articles and legal documents: www.mp3.com/my/news/yourmusic.html
- The Recording Industry Association of America: www.riaa.com
- The Software & Information Industry Association: www.siia.net